PE								1723
Applicant D	[Docket No. P-5204						
& TRAOF Serial No. 09/921,542		Filing Date August 3, 2001			Examiner D. Sorkin		Group Art Unit 1723	
Invention: AN	MPROVED	SYSTEM 1	FOR STIRRING S	SUSPENDED	SOLIDS IN	A LIQUID	MED	IA
		TO THE	ASSISTANT CON	<u>MMISSIONEF</u>	R FOR PATE	NTS:		
1			n the above-identi mitted as shown t	• •	on.			
CLAIMS AS AMENDED								
	CLAIMS REI		HIGHEST #		ER EXTRA	RATE		ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	10	-	18 =	:	0	x \$18	3.00	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	1	-	2 =	:	0	x \$84	.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) \$0.00								
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT \$0.00								
□ Please ch A duplica □ A check i ☑ The Com communi A duplica ☑ Any	missioner is cation or create copy of this additional filing patent applications. Signature the control of the copy of the co	t Account I s sheet is of t of hereby aut dit any ove s sheet is on ng fees rece ation proce	No. enclosed. to cover the horized to charge rpayment to Depo	e filing fee is a payment of a payment of a posit Account I a.F.R. 1.16.	the following No. 02-1666 7. January 15, 2	6 2003 this docur	nent and	RECEIVED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 17 d fee ing deposited
1 Becton Drive Franklin Lakes, N 201-847-7096	-				first class ma Assistant Co 20231.	ill under 37 (ommissioner	C.F.R. 1. for Pa	the U.S. Postal Service a. 8 and is addressed to the atents, Washington, D.C. atents, Washington, D.C. are a constant and a correspondence

CC:

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



CHURL II 13.1 N TO CALL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTR

DARTISH OF OFTIME BUCC BAR Office BO COMMISSION D MARKS

GROUP 130

Paser No. 6

In re application of Leland C. Leber et al Serial No. 902,864 Filed: September 2, 1986 For: CABINET CONTROLLER

HECEIVE Decision on Petition Filed

JAN 23:2007 July 20, 1987

TC 1700

MAILED: AUG 0.6 1987

Applicants petition the Commissioner to direct the examiner to vacate the restriction requirement and examine all the claims.

Applicants argue that the examiner has placed some claims in the wrong group and that their is common subject matter between the claims of Group I and Group II.

The present case has been reviewed taking into account all of applicants comments set forth in their petition. A comparison of the claims indicates that the subject matter therein is so interwoven that they constitute a single invention with the additional limitations being a mere perfection of the basic concept. It is noted that the Examiner has not properly responded to applicants traversal.

For the reasons set forth in applicants petition and those noted above, applicants petition is hereby granted.

Since the applicants petition has been granted, the Office action of June 29, 1987 is hereby vacated. The case is being forwarded to the examiner and the examiner is directed to submit a supplemental action addressing all the claims.

Robert F. White, Director
Patent Examining Group 130
Specialized Chemical Industries
and Chemical Engineering

Hugh H. Drake P.O. Box 727 Fort Collins, CO 80522